arXiv:1403.1187v1 [math.GT] 5 Mar 2014

Non-orientable genus of a knot in punctured $\mathbb{C}P^2$

KOUKI SATO AND MOTOO TANGE

Abstract

For any knot K which bounds non-orientable and null-homologous surfaces F in punctured $n\mathbb{C}P^2$, we construct a lower bound of the first Betti number of F which consists of the signature of K and the Heegaard Floer d-invariant of the integer homology sphere obtained by 1-surgery along K. By using this lower bound, we prove that for any integer k, a certain knot cannot bound any surface which satisfies the above conditions and whose first Betti number is less than k.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we work in the smooth category, all 4-manifolds are orientable, oriented and simply-connected, and all surfaces are compact. If M is a closed 4-manifold, punc$M$ denotes M with an open 4-ball deleted.

The non-orientable 4-genus $\gamma_4(K)$ of a knot K is the smallest first Betti number of any non-orientable surface in B^4 with boundary K. It has been investigated in [2], [3], [5], [12], and [13]. In this paper, we extend the definition of $\gamma_4(K)$ to any 4-manifold with boundary S^3.

Definition *Let M be a closed 4-manifold and $K \subset \partial(puncM)$ ($\cong S^3$) a knot. The non-orientable M-genus $\gamma_M(K)$ of K is the smallest first Betti number of any non-orientable surface $F \subset puncM$ with boundary K.*

Moreover, we define $\gamma^0_M(K)$ to be the smallest first Betti number of any non-orientable surface $F \subset puncM$ with boundary K which represents zero in $H_2(puncM, \partial(puncM); \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

We note that $\gamma_4(K) = \gamma_{S^4}(K) = \gamma^0_{S^4}(K)$ and $\gamma_M(unknot) = \gamma^0_M(unknot) = 1$ for any closed 4-manifold M. In this paper, we consider the following problem.

Problem 1 *Can γ_M and γ^0_M be taken arbitrarily large?*

The answer of this problem depends on the choice of M. For example, Suzuki [11] proved that any knot bounds a disk in punc$(S^2 \times S^2)$ and punc$(\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})$. It follows that $\gamma_{S^2\times S^2}(K) = \gamma_{\mathbb{C}P^2\#\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}}(K) = 1$ for any knot K. For a long time, it had been unknown whether Problem 1 even in the case γ_{S^4} is true or not. Most recently Batson in [2] gave the affirmative answer for the problem by using the following inequality:

Theorem 1.1 (Batson,[2]) *Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot. Then*

$$\gamma_{S^4}(K) \geq \frac{-\sigma(K)}{2} + d(S^3_1(K)),$$

where σ denotes the signature of K and $d(S^3_1(K))$ the Heegaard-Floer d-invariant of the integer homology sphere obtained by 1-surgery along K.

The d-invariant is defined by Ozsváth and Szabó in [6]. We extend Theorem 1.1 to the case of $\gamma^0_{n\mathbb{C}P2}$.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $K \subset S^3$ be a knot. Then*

$$\gamma^0_{n\mathbb{C}P^2}(K) \geq \frac{-\sigma(K)}{2} + d(S^3_1(K)) - n.$$

By applying Theorem 1.2, we give the answer of Problem 1 in the case of $\gamma^0_{n\mathbb{C}P^2}$.

Theorem 1.3 *For every k, there exists a knot K such that $\gamma^0_{n\mathbb{C}P^2}(K) = k$.*

In fact, we show that $\gamma^0_{n\mathbb{C}P^2}(\overset{n+k}{\#} 9_{42}) = k$, where $\overset{n+k}{\#} 9_{42}$ denotes the $n+k$ times connected sum of the knot 9_{42} in Rolfsen's table [9]. In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we first prove the following proposition.

Proposition 1.4 *Let $K \subset \partial(punc(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}))$ be a knot and $F \subset punc(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})$ a non-orientable surface with boundary K. Then*

$$\beta_1(F) \geq \frac{e(F)}{2} - 2d(S^3_{-1}(K)),$$

where $e(F)$ is the normal Euler number of F, and β_i denotes the i-th Betti number.

This proposition is an extension of Theorem 4 in [2] and independent of the homology class of F. However, the normal Euler number $e(F)$ depends on F, hence this lower bound is not enough to determine the genus. To delete $e(F)$, we use the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5 (Yasuhara, [13]) *Let M be a closed 4-manifold and $K \subset \partial(puncM)$ a knot. If K bounds a non-orientable surface F in $puncM$ that represents zero in $H_2(puncM, \partial(puncM); \mathbb{Z}_2)$, then*

$$\left|\sigma(K) + \sigma(M) - \frac{e(F)}{2}\right| \leq \beta_2(M) + \beta_1(F),$$

where $\sigma(M)$ is the signature of M.

By applying this theorem to $n\mathbb{C}P^2$ and deleting the term of $e(F)/2$, we obtain the inequality of Theorem 1.2.

Remark. In our arguments in Section 2 and Section 3, it is clear that the inequalities in Theorem 1.2 and Proposition 1.4 hold for any 4-manifold P with intersection form $n\langle 1\rangle$ instead of $n\mathbb{C}P^2$, because these homological data of the ambient space determines the inequalities. For simplicity we deal with the case of $n\mathbb{C}P^2$.

Acknowledgements. The first author would like to thank Kokoro Tanaka and Akira Yasuhara for their useful comments and encouragement.

2 Proof of Proposition 1.4

In order to prove Proposition 1.4, we first prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 *Under the hypothesis of Lemma 1.4, moreover if $\beta_1(F)$ is odd, then there exists an orientable surface $F' \subset punc(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \sharp S^2 \times S^2)$ which is still bounded by K, and has $\beta_1(F') = \beta_1(F) - 1$ and $e(F') = e(F) + 2$.*

Proof. Since $\beta_1(F)$ is odd, there is a curve C in F such that the regular neighborhood of C in F is a Möbius band and $F \setminus C$ is orientable. By the simply-connectedness of punc($n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$), C is null-homotopic. We note that in these dimensions (i.e., for 1-manifolds in 4-manifolds) every homotopy may be replaced with an isotopy. It follows that C bounds an embedded 2-disk D in punc($n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$). Without loss of generality we can assume that D is transverse to F. Then $F \cap D$ is the disjoint union of the curve C and some transversal intersections $\{p_i\}$ ($i = 1, 2, ..., l$). Let $V(D)$ be a small regular neighborhood of D in punc($n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$). $V(D)$ is diffeomorphic to $D \times D^2$, and $F \cap V(D)$ consists of one Möbius band and l 2-disks $p_i \times D^2$. If we draw $\partial V(D) \cong S^3$ with its standard decomposition into solid tori $\partial V(D) \cong \partial D \times D^2 \cup_{\partial D \times S^1} D \times S^1$, we see $\partial(F \cap V(D)) \subset \partial V(D)$ as the link L in Figure 1 consisting of a $(2, 2k + 1)$-cable of the core for the first factor and l parallel copies of the core for the second. It was proved by [2] and [13] that L bounds $l + 1$ disjoint embedded disks E in punc($S^2 \times S^2$) such that $e(E) = e(F \cap V(D)) + 2$. We remark that $[E, \partial E] \in H_2(\text{punc}(S^2 \times S^2); \mathbb{Z})$ is $2\alpha + b\beta$ ($b \in \mathbb{Z}$), where α and β are standard generators of $H_2(\text{punc}(S^2 \times S^2), \partial(\text{punc}(S^2 \times S^2)); \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\alpha \cdot \alpha = \beta \cdot \beta = 0$, and $\alpha \cdot \beta = 1$. Let $F'' = F \setminus (F \cap V(D))$. Excising $V(D)$ from punc($n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$) and capping off the pair ($\partial V(D)$, L) with a pair (punc($S^2 \times S^2$), E), we obtain a new orientable surface $F' = F'' \cup E$ in punc($n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \sharp S^2 \times S^2$) with boundary K. It is easy to check that $\beta_1(F') = \beta_1(F) - 1$, and by the additivity of the normal Euler number, $e(F') = e(F) + 2$. This completes the proof. □

We note that the homology class $[F', \partial F'] \in H_2(\text{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \sharp S^2 \times S^2), \partial(\text{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \sharp S^2 \times S^2)); \mathbb{Z})$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{j} 2a_i\overline{\gamma_i} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} (2a_i + 1)\overline{\gamma_i} + 2\alpha + b\beta \ \ (a_i, j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \le j \le n),$$

where $\overline{\gamma_i}$, are standard generators of $H_2(\text{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}), \partial(\text{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})); \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\overline{\gamma_i} \cdot \overline{\gamma_j} = -\delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker's delta). Since F' is orientable, $e(F') = [F', \partial F'] \cdot [F', \partial F'] = -\sum_{i=1}^{j} 4a_i^2 - \sum_{i=j+1}^{n} (2a_i + 1)^2 + 4b$.

We next prove the following lemma. It is a generalization of a discussion in Section 3 [2].

Lemma 2.2 *Let M be an integer homology 3-sphere, X a simply-connected 4-manifold such that $\partial X = M$ and $\beta_2^+(X) = 1$ and Σ an orientable closed surface in X with genus g and self-intersection m. Then for any Spin^c structure $\mathbf{s}$ of X which satisfies $\langle c_1(\mathbf{s}), [\Sigma] \rangle = m - 2g > 0$, the following inequality holds:*

$$c_1(\mathbf{s})^2 + \beta_2^-(X) \le 1 + 4d(M).$$

Proof of Lemma 2.2 Suppose that $X' = X \setminus \nu(\Sigma)$, then X' is a negative semi-definite 4-manifold with disconnected boundaries $Y_{g,-m} \amalg M$, where $Y_{g,-m}$ denotes the Euler number $-m$ circle bundle over Σ. We apply the following theorem to the pair $(X', Y_{g,-m} \amalg M)$.



Figure 1: the link L (for $k \geq 0$)

Theorem 2.1 (Ozsváth and Szabó, [6]) *Let Y be a closed oriented 3-manifold (not necessarily connected) with standard HF^∞, endowed with a torsion Spin^c structure $\mathbf{t}$. If X is a negative semi-definite four-manifold bounding Y such that the restriction map $H^1(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ is trivial, and $\mathbf{s}$ is a Spin^c structure on X restricting to $\mathbf{t}$ on Y, then*

$$c_1(\mathbf{s})^2 + \beta_2^-(X) \leq 4d_b(Y,\mathbf{t}) + 2\beta_1(Y).$$

It was proved by [2] and [6] that $Y_{g,-m} \amalg S^3_{-1}(K)$ has standard HF^∞. Since we can verify that $H^1(X') = 0$ in the same way as Section 3 in [2], it follows that the pair $(X', Y_{g,-m} \amalg M)$ satisfies all conditions of Theorem 2.1.

Applying Theorem 2.1 to the Spin^c structure $\mathbf{s}_t|_{X'}$ on X', we have

$$c_1(\mathbf{s}|_{X'})^2 + \beta_2^-(X') \leq 4d_b(Y_{g,-m}, \mathbf{s}|_{Y_{g,-m}}) + 4d(M) + 2\beta_1(Y_{g,-m}) + 2\beta_1(M). \tag{1}$$

Let us compute each term in this inequality (1). In order to compute $c_1(\mathbf{s}_t|_{X'})^2$, we decompose the intersection form of X in terms of the $\mathbb{Q}$-valued intersection forms on $\nu(\Sigma)$ and X' ; if $c \in H^2(X)$, then

$$Q_X(c) = Q_{\nu(\Sigma)}(c|_{\nu(\Sigma)}) + Q_{X'}(c|_{X'}).$$

This gives $c_1(\mathbf{s})^2 = c_1(\mathbf{s}|_{\nu(\Sigma)})^2 + c_1(\mathbf{s}|_{X'})^2$. Hence we have

$$c_1(\mathbf{s}|_{X'})^2 = c_1(\mathbf{s})^2 - c_1(\mathbf{s}|_{\nu(\Sigma)})^2 = c_1(\mathbf{s})^2 - \frac{(m-2g)^2}{m}.$$

For the above Spin^c structure $\mathbf{s}|_{Y_{g,-m}}$, the d-invariant of $Y_{g,-m}$ is computed in section 9 of [6]. If $\langle c_1(\mathbf{s}), [\Sigma]\rangle = m - 2g > 0$, then

$$d_b(Y_{g,-m}, \mathbf{s}|_{Y_{g,-m}}) = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{g^2}{m} - \frac{m}{4}.$$

After substituting all the values computed above, (1) reduces to

$$c_1(\mathbf{s})^2 - \frac{(m-2g)^2}{m} + \beta_2^-(X') \leq 4\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{g^2}{m} - \frac{m}{4}\right) + 4d(S^3_{-1}(K)) + 2(2g). \tag{2}$$

Since $\beta_2^-(X') = \beta_2^-(X)$, (2) gives the inequality

$$c_1(\mathbf{s})^2 + \beta_2^-(X) \leq 1 + 4d(M).$$

□

Proof of Proposition 1.4. Note that for any knot K, $d(S^3_{-1}(K)) \geq 0$. Hence when $e(F) \leq \beta_1(F)$, it is clear that this proposition holds. Therefore we assume that $e(F) > \beta_1(F)$.

We first give the proof for the case where $\beta_1(F)$ is odd. In Lemma 3.1, we constructed an orientable surface $F' \subset (\mathrm{punc}(\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2} \natural S^2 \times S^2))$ with boundary $K \subset S^3$. Attaching a (-1)-framed 2-handle along K, we have a 4-manifold $\overline{W}$ with boundary $S^3_{-1}(K)$ and the intersection form

$$Q_{\overline{W}} = \left(\begin{array}{c|ccc|cc} -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & & & & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & & O & & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

We may cap off F' with the core of the 2-handle to form a closed surface Σ with genus $g = (b_1(F) - 1)/2$, homology class $\overline{\gamma_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{j} 2a_i\overline{\gamma_i} + \sum_{i=j+1}^{n}(2a_i+1)\overline{\gamma_i} + 2\alpha + b\beta$, and the self-intersection number

$$m = -1 - \sum_{i=1}^{j} 4a_i^2 - \sum_{i=j+1}^{n}(2a_i+1)^2 + 4b = e(F) + 1 > 0.$$

We next choose a Spin^c structure on W. Since $H^2(\overline{W}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{m+3}$ has no 2-torsion, a Spin^c structure on $\overline{W}$ is determined by its first Chern class. Fix a Spin^c structure $\mathbf{s}_t$ on $\overline{W}$ satisfying

$$PD(c_1(\mathbf{s}_t)) = \varepsilon\overline{\gamma_0} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}(2a_i+1)\overline{\gamma_i} + 2\alpha + 2x\beta,$$

where

$$x = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{j} 2a_i + 2(b-g) - 1 + \varepsilon}{4}$$

and $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ is chosen so as to make x an integer. Since the given vector is characteristic for $Q_{\overline{W}}$, it corresponds to a Spin^c structure. Furthermore, $\langle c_1(\mathbf{s}_t), [\Sigma]\rangle = m - 2g = e(F) - \beta_1(F) + 2 > 0$. Applying Lemma 2.2 to the pair $(\overline{W}, S^3_{-1}(K))$, we have

$$c_1(\mathbf{s}_t)^2 + \beta_2^-(\overline{W}) \leq 1 + 4d(S^3_{-1}(K)). \tag{3}$$

Since $c_1(\mathbf{s}_t)^2 = -1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n}(2a_i+1)^2 + 8x = e(F) - j - 1 + 2\varepsilon - 4g$, (3) gives

$$(e(F) - j - 1 + 2\varepsilon - 4g) + (n+2) \leq 1 + 4d(S^3_{-1}(K)). \tag{4}$$

Since $-1 \leq \varepsilon,\ j \leq n$, and $2g = \beta_1(F) - 1$, (4) reduces to the following inequality

$$\frac{e(F)}{2} - 2d(S^3_{-1}(K)) \leq \beta_1(F). \tag{5}$$

Finally, we consider the case where $\beta_1(F)$ is even. Taking the connected sum $F \subset \mathrm{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})$ with the standard embedding of $\mathbb{R}P^2 \subset S^4$ whose normal Euler number is +2, and we have a non-orientable surface $\hat{F} \subset \mathrm{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})$ with boundary K such that $\beta_1(\hat{F}) = \beta_1(F) + 1$ and $e(\hat{F}) = e(F) + 2$. Since $\beta_1(\hat{F})$ is odd, $\hat{F}$ satisfies the inequality (5). This implies that the inequality (5) holds in this case.

This completes the proof of Proposition 1.4. □

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

By reversing the orientation of M, we obtain the following proposition. We use this proposition to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.1 *For any 4-manifold M and any knot K, the following equality holds;*

$$\gamma^0_M(K) = \gamma^0_{-M}(\overline{K}),$$

where $\overline{K}$ denotes the mirror image of K.

Let us prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $F \subset \mathrm{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})$ is a non-orientable surface with boundary K which represents zero in $H_2(\mathrm{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}), \partial(\mathrm{punc}(n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2})), \mathbb{Z}_2)$. It follows from Theorem 1.5 that

$$\left|\sigma(K) + (-n) - \frac{e(F)}{2}\right| \leq n + \beta_1(F).$$

Hence we have

$$\beta_1(F) \geq \sigma(K) - \frac{e(F)}{2} - 2n.$$

Combining this inequality with Proposition 1.4, we have

$$\gamma^0_{n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}}(K) \geq \frac{\sigma(K)}{2} - d(S^3_{-1}(K)) - n.$$

By using this inequality and Proposition 3.1, it follows that for any knot $K \subset \partial(\mathrm{punc}(n\mathbb{C}P^2))$,

$$\gamma^0_{n\mathbb{C}P^2}(K) = \gamma^0_{n\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}}(\overline{K}) \geq \frac{\sigma(\overline{K})}{2} - d(S^3_{-1}(\overline{K})) - n = \frac{-\sigma(K)}{2} + d(S^3_1(K)) - n.$$

This proves Theorem 1.2. □

4 Proof of Theorem 1.3

To prove Theorem 1.3, it is necessary to show $d(S^3_1(\overset{m}{\#}K)) = 0$ for some knot K. Since $d(S^3_1(\cdot))$ is a knot concordance invariant by the result of [8], but not a homomorphism, we must prove $d(S^3_1(\overset{m}{\#}K)) = 0$ for each m and the knot K. Throughout this paper, the coefficient $\mathbb{F}$ of any Heegaard Floer homology is the field with the order 2. The coordinate (i, j), as is used below, is the same as that in [7]. We denote the whole differential in the knot Floer chain complex $CFK^\infty(K)$ by ∂^∞ and denote the differential restricted to vertical (or horizontal) lines by ∂^{vert} (or ∂^{hor} respectively). For the other differentials, we use the same notation ∂^∞.

Proposition 4.1 *For any positive integer m, $d(S^3_1(\overset{m}{\#}9_{42})) = 0$.*

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Due to [8], the correction term $d(S^3_1(K))$ coincides with $\tilde{d}(S^3_p(K), [0])$, where p is a sufficient large integer. The correction term $\tilde{d}$ is the unshifted correction term for $CFK^\infty(K)\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\}$, namely $\tilde{d}(S^3_p(K), [0]) = d(S^3_p(K), [0]) - \frac{p-1}{4}$.

For the generators of $CFK^\infty(9_{42})$, we use the same as those in Fig.14 in [7] (see Figure 2). Let



Figure 2: The differential maps of the fundamental part G_0 of $CFK^\infty(9_{42})$ (Fig.14 in [7]).

denote $S_1 = \{x_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq 9\}$. Let G_i be a graded module $\mathbb{F}\langle U^{-i} \cdot x \mid x \in S_1\rangle$, where x_5 has the Alexander grading $gr(x_5) = 0$. We call the chain complex G_0 a *fundamental part* in CFK^∞. U is the action decreasing the grading by 2. The chain complex $CFK^\infty(9_{42})$ consists of an infinite direct sum $\oplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} G_i$ and the class $\alpha_i := U^{-i}(x_1 + x_5 + x_9)$ is the homological generator of $CFK^\infty(9_{42})$. By the result in [7], for the large p-Dehn surgery we obtain the graded isomorphism

$$HF^+_{*+\frac{p-1}{4}}(S^3_p(9_{42}), [0]) \cong H_*(CFK^\infty(9_{42})\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\}).$$

The right hand side is easily computed as $H_*(CFK^\infty(9_{42})\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\}) = \oplus_{i\geq 0}\mathbb{F} \cdot \alpha_i$ and the minimal grading is $\mathrm{gr}(\alpha_0) = \tilde{d}(S^3_p(9_{42}), [0]) = d(S^3_1(9_{42})) = 0$. This proves the case of $m = 1$.

Next, to compute $d(S^3_1(\overset{m}{\#}9_{42}))$, we consider $CFK^\infty(\overset{m}{\#}9_{42}) \cong \otimes^m CFK^\infty(9_{42})$. We denote the set of generators by $S_m = \{x_{i_1} \otimes x_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes x_{i_m} \mid 1 \leq i_k \leq 9\}$ and $G^{(m)}_l = \mathbb{F}\langle U^{-l} \cdot x \mid x \in S_m\rangle$. The complex $CFK^\infty(\overset{m}{\#}9_{42})$ is decomposed into the sum $\oplus_{l\in\mathbb{Z}} G^{(m)}_l$ of the chain complexes. Hence, we may consider each homology $H_*(G^{(m)}_l \cap \{\max(i, j) \geq 0\})$.

We define the tensor product $y \otimes \cdots \otimes y$ to be $y^{\otimes m}$. Since the differential ∂^∞ in $\otimes^m CFK^\infty(9_{42})$ is as follows:

$$\partial^\infty(z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes z_m) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} z_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \partial^\infty z_k \otimes \cdots \otimes z_m,$$

$H_*(G_l^{(m)})$ is generated by $U^{-l} \cdot \alpha^{\otimes m}$. In fact, $\partial^\infty(\alpha^{\otimes m}) = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \alpha \otimes \cdots \otimes \overset{k\text{-th}}{0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \alpha = 0$. Since the generator $\alpha^{\otimes m}$ has the unique top grading in $G_l^{(m)}$, $\alpha^{\otimes m}$ does not lie in $\mathrm{Im}(\partial^\infty) \cap G_l^{(m)}$. Furthermore, since $HF^\infty(S^3_p(\overset{m}{\#} 9_{42}))$ is standard, $H_*(G_i^{(m)})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{F}\langle U^{-l} \cdot \alpha^{\otimes m} \rangle \cong \mathbb{F}$. This homology computes $H_*(G_l^{(m)}\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\})$ for sufficiently large l.

We consider the generators of $H_*(G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\})$, i.e. the $l = 0$ case. Clearly, we have

$$G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\} = G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i, j) = 0\}.$$

In this case, the chain complex $G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\}$ is isomorphic to $G_0^{(m)}\{i = 0, j \leq 0\} \oplus G_0^{(m)}\{j = 0, i < 0\}$. The chain complex $G_0^{(m)}\{i = 0, j \leq 0\}$ is isomorphic to the m-times tensor product of the chain complex defined in Figure 3, i.e. this is isomorphic to the fundamental part of $CFK^\infty(\overset{m}{\#} 3_1)$ with the top grading 0. Figure 4 is the chain complex $G_0^{(2)}\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\}$ and the sum of indicated classes in the picture presents the homological generator.

Figure 3: The chain complex of the fundamental part of $CFK^\infty(3_1)$.



Figure 4: The chain complex $G_0^{(2)}\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\}$ and the homological generator.

We denote the homological generators in $G_0^{(m)}\{i = 0, j \leq 0\}$ and $G_0^{(m)}\{j = 0, i \leq 0\}$ by α_1, and α_2 respectively. The classes α_i have $x_5^{\otimes m}$ as a non-zero component.

Here we claim the following:

Lemma 4.1

$$H_*(G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i, j) \geq 0\}) \cong \mathbb{F} \cdot \beta,$$

where $\beta = (x_5 + x_9)^{\otimes m} + (x_5 + x_1)^{\otimes m} + x_5^{\otimes m}$. *The absolute grading of* β *is* 0.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We show the element β is a homological generator in the chain complex $G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i,j)\geq 0\}$).

Since we have $\partial^\infty(x_5+x_9)=2x_6+x_4=x_4$, $\partial^\infty(x_5+x_1)=2x_4+x_6=x_6$, and $\partial^\infty x_5=x_6+x_4$, we get the following

$$\partial^\infty((x_5+x_9)^{\otimes m})=\sum_{k=1}^{m}(x_5+x_9)\otimes\cdots(x_5+x_9)\otimes\overset{k-\text{th}}{x_4}\otimes(x_5+x_9)\cdots\otimes(x_5+x_9)=\sum_{k=1}^{m}x_5\otimes\cdots\otimes x_5\otimes\overset{k-\text{th}}{x_4}\otimes x_5\cdots\otimes x_5$$

$$\partial^\infty((x_5+x_1)^{\otimes m})=\sum_{k=1}^{m}(x_5+x_1)\otimes\cdots(x_5+x_9)\otimes\overset{k-\text{th}}{x_6}\otimes(x_5+x_9)\cdots\otimes(x_5+x_9)=\sum_{k=1}^{m}x_5\otimes\cdots\otimes x_5\otimes\overset{k-\text{th}}{x_6}\otimes x_5\cdots\otimes x_5,$$

in $G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i,j)\geq 0\}$. Summing these, we get the following:

$$\partial^\infty((x_5+x_9)^{\otimes m}+(x_5+x_1)^{\otimes m}+x_5^{\otimes m})=0.$$

Thus, β is a homological generator in $G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i,j)\geq 0\}$.

Any homological generator z in $H_*(G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i,j)\geq 0\})$ has the non-zero component $x_5^{\otimes m}$. For, if z does not have the non-zero component $x_5^{\otimes m}$, then z is presented by y_1+y_2, where $y_1\in G_0^{(m)}\{i=0,j<0\}$ and $y_2\in G_0^{(m)}\{j=0,i<0\}$. However y_1,y_2 are not homological generators in $G_0^{(m)}\{i=0,j\leq 0\}$ or $G_0^{(m)}\{j=0,i\leq 0\}$ respectively. Thus there exist $\overline{y}_1\in G_0^{(m)}\{i=0,j\leq 0\}$ and $\overline{y}_2\in G_0^{(m)}\{j=0,i\leq 0\}$ such that $y_1=\partial^{vert}\overline{y}_1$ and $y_2=\partial^{hor}\overline{y}_2$ respectively. We may assume that $\overline{y}_i$ does not have $x_5^{\otimes m}$ as a non-zero component by reducing α_i if necessary. This means $z=\partial^\infty(\overline{y}_1+\overline{y}_2)$ in $G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i,j)=0\}$. This contradicts the fact that z is a homological generator in $G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i,j)\geq 0\}$. Hence any homological generator in $G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i,j)\geq 0\}$ has the non-zero component $x_5^{\otimes m}$. Since $z-\beta$ does not have $x_5^{\otimes m}$ as a non-zero component, it is not a homological generator. This implies z is homologous to β.

Therefore we have $H_*(G_0^{(m)}\{\max(i,j)\geq 0\})\cong\mathbb{F}\cdot\beta$ and the grading of β is 0. □

By Lemma 4.1, β is the minimal generator in $H_*(CFK^\infty(\overset{m}{\#}9_{42})\{\max(i,j)\geq 0\})$ coming from $HF^\infty(S^3_1(\overset{m}{\#}9_{42}))$. Therefore we have $d(S^3_1(\overset{m}{\#}9_{42}))=\tilde{d}(S^3_p(\overset{m}{\#}9_{42}))=gr(\beta)=0$ □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Since $\sigma(9_{42})=-2$ and the knot signature is additive, we have $\sigma(\overset{n+k}{\#}9_{42})=-2(n+k)$. Thus, by using Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\gamma^0_{n\mathbb{C}P^2}(\overset{n+k}{\#}9_{42})\geq\frac{-(-2(n+k))}{2}+0-n=k.$$

We next construct a non-orientable surface $F_{n,k}\subset\text{punc}(n\mathbb{C}P^2)$ satisfying the following:

1. $\partial F_{n,k}=\overset{n+k}{\#}9_{42}$,
2. $\beta_1(F_{n,k})=k$, and
3. $F_{n,k}$ represents zero in $H_2(\text{punc}(n\mathbb{C}P^2),\partial(\text{punc}(n\mathbb{C}P^2));\mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Figure 5: 9_{42} bounds Möbius band in B^4



Figure 6: 9_{42} bounds a disk in punc$\mathbb{C}P^2$

The cobordisms in Figure 5 and 6 give a properly embedded Möbius band M in B^4 with the boundary 9_{42}, and a properly embedded disk D in punc$\mathbb{C}P^2$ such that it bounds 9_{42} and represents zero in $H_2(\text{punc}\mathbb{C}P^2, \partial(\text{punc}\mathbb{C}P^2); \mathbb{Z}_2)$. Taking the boundary connected sum of n copies of $(\text{punc}\mathbb{C}P^2, D)$ and k copies of (B^4, M), we have a new non-orientable surface $F_{n,k}$ satisfying the above properties from (1) to (3). This completes the proof. □

References

[1] M. Ait Nouh. *Genera and degrees of torus knots in* $\mathbb{C}P^2$, Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications Vol.18, No.9(2009) 1299-1312.

[2] J. Batson. *Nonorientable four-ball genus can be arbitrarily large*, arXiv:1204.1985(2012).

[3] P.M. Gilmer and C. Livingston. *The nonorientable 4-genus of knots*, Journal of the London Mathematical **84**(2011), 559-577.

[4] S.J. Kaplan. *Twisting to algebraically slice knots*, Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol.102, No.1, 55-59.

[5] H. Murakami and A. Yasuhara. *Four-genus and four-dimensional clasp number of a knot*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128**(2000), 3693-3699.

[6] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. *Absolutely graded Floer homologies and intersection forms for four-manifolds with boundary*, Advances in Mathematics **173**(2003), 179-261.

[7] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó. *Holomorphic disks and knot invariants*, Advances in Mathematics **186**(2004), 58-116.

[8] T.D. Peters. *A concordance invariant from the Floer homology of* ± 1 *surgeries*, arXiv:1003.3038(2010).

[9] D. Rolfsen. *Knots and links*, Mathematics Lecture Series, No. 7. Publish or Perish, Inc., Berkeley, Calif., 1976. MR 58:24236; corrected reprint MR 95c:57018

[10] V.A. Rohlin. *Two-dimensional submanifolds of four-dimensional manifolds*, Funct. Anal. Appl. **5**(1971), 39-48.

[11] S. Suzuki. *Local knots of 2-sphere in 4-manifolds*, Proc. Japan Acad. **45** (1969), 34-38.

[12] O.Viro. *Positioning in codimension 2, and the boundary*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **30**(1975), 231-232.

[13] A. Yasuhara. *Connecting lemmas and representing homology classes of simply connected 4-manifolds*, Tokyo Journal of Mathematics **19** (1996), 245-261.